

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,412	01/22/2004	Ian Faye	2849	4665
759	90 08/09/2006		EXAMINER PARSONS THOMAS H	
STRIKER, STRIKER & STENBY 103 East Neck Road			PARSONS, THOMAS H	
Huntington, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	
			DATE MAILED: 08/09/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			6
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/762,412	FAYE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas H. Parsons	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence addres	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the n earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replace. The riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Jly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this commur NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) 3) Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice und 	This action is non-final. Dwance except for formal matte	•	rits is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 8-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5-7 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are 	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 22 January 2004 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rrection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of: 2. Certified copies of the priority document of: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the pr	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been re reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stag	e
Attachment/c\	•		
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/762,412

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 3, lines 9, suggest inserting "at" after "characterized in that"; and,

Line 14, suggest changing "separately" to --separator--;

Page 4, line 8, suggest changing "expensive" to --expense--; and,

Page 6, line 5, suggest inserting "phase" after "fluid".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Manikowski, Jr. (5,706,675).

Claim 1: Manikowski, Jr. in Figures 1, 2 and 6 disclose a vehicle with a device for converting chemical energy into electrical energy, configured as a fuel cell unit whereby at least one oxygen-containing source mixture (air) (Manikowski, Jr. discloses a fuel cell power plant or other application which the Examiner has construed as a vehicle with a fuel cell [col. 2: 5-11 and 62-64]), comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/762,412

Art Unit: 1745

a separator (7) for separating off at least one oxygen-enriched fluid from a fluid residue of the source mixture. See col. 5: 35-col. 7: 38.

Claim 2: Manikowski, Jr. in Figure 1 further discloses that the separator (7) has at least one oxygen separator (19) for separating oxygen from the source mixture.

Claim 3: Manikowski, Jr. in Figure 1 further discloses that the oxygen separator is formed as an oxygen condensation unit for condensation of oxygen. Manikowski, Jr. discloses in the abstract that the cooled air stream is expanded in the expander where oxygen/argon condenses in the gas stream. The expanded air stream is delivered to a separation column that separates the liquid oxygen/argon mixture. See also col. 5: 58-60.

Claim 4: Manikowski, Jr. in Figure 1 further discloses that the separator includes at least one heat exchanger (15) for heat exchange with a cooling medium (abstract, and col. 5: 60-col. 6: 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Manikowski, Jr. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Peschka (4,386,309).

Manikowski, Jr. is as applied, argued, and disclosed above, and incorporated herein.

Claim 8: Manikowski, Jr. does not disclose a fluid reservoir is provided for storing liquid hydrogen.

Peschka in the Figure discloses a fluid reservoir (1) for storing liquid hydrogen.

Claim 9: Manikowski, Jr. does not disclose that the fluid reservoir has at least one insulating device *enclosing the fluid residue* for thermally insulating the fluid reservoir.

Peschka in the Figure discloses that the fluid reservoir (1) has at least one insulating device (5, 2) for thermally insulating the fluid reservoir.

Claim 10: Manikowski, Jr. does not disclose that the fluid reservoir has at least one insulating device *enclosing the oxygen-enriched fluid* for thermally insulating the fluid reservoir.

Peschka in the Figure discloses that the fluid reservoir (1) has at least one insulating device (5, 2) thermally insulating the fluid reservoir.

The recitations "enclosing the fluid residue" in claim 9, and "enclosing the oxygenenriched fluid" have been construed as limitations directed toward the manner in which the insulating device is intended to be used, and limitations which add no additional structure.

Because the fluid reservoir of Peshka is structurally similar to what is instanty claimed, it appears capable of providing the claimed use.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the apparatus of Manikowski, Jr. by incorporating the fluid reservoir of Peschka because both are concerned with supplying fuel to a fuel cell, and Peschka discloses a fluid reservoir that would have provided a means for increasing the storage time of a liquid hydrogen and minimized the undesirable consumption of liquid hydrogen due to evaporation thereby improving the overall efficiency of the fuel cell.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,412 Page 5

Art Unit: 1745

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 5-7 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Reasons for Indicating Allowable Subject Matter

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The claimed invention is concerned with at least one branch connection element for separating the cooling medium into at least two separate partial flows before the at least one heat exchanger in the flow direction. The problem to be solved by the claimed invention is that of controlling condensation in the heat exchanger by controlling flow amount of cooling medium via a bypass into the heat exchanger. Such a structure is neither taught nor suggested in the prior art reference of record. Specifically, Manikowski, Jr. discloses controlling the oxygen/air separator with a system controller by transmitting data bi-directionally between the oxygen/air separator and the fuel cell.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas H. Parsons whose telephone number is (571) 272-1290. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-4:30) First Friday Off.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,412 Page 6

Art Unit: 1745

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PATRICK JOSEPH RYAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Thomas H Parsons Examiner Art Unit 1745
